

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

13 January 2014

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Etherson, K. and Davidson, A. and Yiannakou, Y. and Mason, J. (2013) 'Surgeons-don't forget to calibrate ! findings from a sacral nerve test stimulator.', *Gut*, 62 (Suppl 2). A27-A28.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305143.64>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

This article has been accepted for publication in *Gut*. The definitive copyedited, typeset version Etherson, K. and Davidson, A. and Yiannakou, Y. and Mason, J. (2013) 'Surgeons-don't forget to calibrate ! findings from a sacral nerve test stimulator.', *Gut*, 62 (Suppl 2). A27-A28 is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305143.64>

### Additional information:

## Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# **Surgeons-Don't Forget To Calibrate! Findings From A Sacral Nerve Test Stimulator**

**K. Etherson<sup>1</sup>, A. Davidson<sup>1</sup>, Y. Yiannakou<sup>1</sup>, J. Mason<sup>2</sup>**

**<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, University Hospital of North Durham, County Durham and  
Darlington NHS Foundation Trust, County Durham, UK**

**<sup>2</sup>Wolfson Research Institute, Durham University, County Durham, UK**

## **Introduction:**

Sacral nerve stimulation testing (TSNS) for chronic constipation is not accurately predictive of a long-term response<sup>1</sup>. The decision to implant a permanent device relies on these results.

## **Aim:**

We recognised that the testing stimulator was an analogue device with potentially inaccurate dial settings. We sought verification of the output waveform.

## **Method:**

19 test stimulators were connected to a cross-calibrated oscilloscope. The output Frequency (f), and Pulse Width (pw) of the waveforms generated were measured according to: run 1) the physician's best attempt to set the dials correctly (pw=210µSec, f=14Hz), and run 2) the closest dial increment to these settings (pw=200µSec, f=10Hz). Output Voltage (V) was measured in run 3 at dial increments of 0V, 1V, 2V, 5V, and 10V.

## **Results:**

We assumed an acceptable margin of error of 20% in runs 1 and 2, and 0.5V in run 3.

There was a marked range of frequency values; run 1)10.6 to 29.0Hz(26% failed), and run 2)7.9 to 13.0Hz(11% failed).

Findings for pulse width were similarly variable; run 1)242 to 326µSec(89% failed), and run 2)215 to 274µSec(63% failed).

All devices had a residual positive voltage at zero(range:0.29 to 1.00V), and the failure rates at 0,1,2,5 and 10V were 53%, 100%, 100%, 68% and 47% respectively.

## **Conclusions:**

All fields of clinical practice and research have their instrumentation which requires calibration to provide verifiable readings. Failure to calibrate during TSNS results in patients receiving variable stimulation, potentially reducing the clarity of research findings, and may be a factor in the poor predictive power of testing in chronic constipation.

## **References:**

<sup>1</sup>Kamm M. et al; Sacral nerve stimulation for intractable constipation:Gut,2010;59:333-340.

**Table 1: Results of oscilloscope measurements of SNS test box output waveforms.**

[illegible]